

# Linear Genetic Programming of Metaheuristics

Robert E. Keller  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Essex, UK  
rkeller@essex.ac.uk

Riccardo Poli  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Essex, UK  
rpoli@essex.ac.uk

## ABSTRACT

We suggest a flavour of linear Genetic Programming in domain-specific languages that acts as a hyperheuristic (HH).

**Categories and Subject Descriptors:** I.2.2 Artificial Intelligence [Automatic Programming]: Program synthesis

**General Terms:** Algorithms

**Keywords:** Genetic Programming; Metaheuristics, Optimization

A HH attempts building a metaheuristic (MH) that is good in the sense that it locates acceptable solutions to a given problem in feasible time. However, due to the NFL situation during optimisation, a fixed HH that efficiently operates for all domains cannot be designed. Thus, we suggest a generic HH where a grammar  $G$  describes the structure of MHs specific to a given domain  $D$ , while one can exchange  $G$  with a grammar for another domain. Therefore, a MH is a sentence  $l \in L(G)$ , the language of  $G$ . We realize this framework with techniques from linear GP. Thus, the GP HH (Algorithm 1) considers a MH as a genotype  $g \in L(G)$ . See [2] for a detailed description of algorithms and more results from the work presented here.

Given a grammar  $G$  with terminal set  $T$ , we get  $g \in L(G) \subset T^*$ , the set of all strings over  $T$ . Each primitive  $t \in T$  stands for an operator that is a heuristic or part of one. Therefore,  $g$  represents a series of operator applications that grows a structure,  $s$ , that is a candidate solution of a given problem. We define  $g$ 's fitness as the quality of  $s$ .

Initialization and mutation may result in a primitive-sequence,  $\sigma \in T^*$ , with  $\sigma \notin L(G) \subset T^*$ . In this case, we invoke a mapping function,  $m$ , to derive  $\sigma' \in L(G)$ . Over the population of the GP HH,  $m$  implies a variance of the effective genotype size, which is beneficial as it is a necessary condition for the emergence of parsimonious, good metaheuristics. In combination with point mutation and a fixed maximal size of genotypes,  $m$  also implicitly counters bloat.

We observe the behaviour of the HH on traveling-salesperson problems (TSP). We provide the HH with two classic, trivial, TSP-specific heuristics: **2-change** and **3-change**. We add i) **IF\_2-change** that only executes the change if it shortens the tour under construction, ii) and its twin, **IF\_3-change**. We also introduce **REPEAT**: given  $p \in T$ ,  $\iota \in \mathbb{N}$ , it executes  $p$  until a shorter tour results or until  $p$  has been executed  $\iota$  times.

Copyright is held by the author/owner(s).  
GECCO'07, July 7–11, 2007, London, England, United Kingdom.  
ACM 978-1-59593-697-4/07/0007.

---

## Algorithm 1 GP HH: grammar $G$ , $p$ , $s$ , $\mu$ , $\omega$

---

```
1: create  $p$  genotypes in  $L(G)$  with fixed maximal size  $s$ 
2: while not yet  $\omega$  genotypes produced
3: Selection: 2-tournament
4: Reproduction: Copy winner  $g$  into loser's place  $\rightarrow g'$ 
5: Exploration: with probability  $\mu$ 
   Point-mutate  $g' \rightarrow h$ ;  $m(h) \rightarrow g''$ 
```

---

Table 1: HH performance over 100 runs.

eil51	Mean best	SD	Best	$\iota$
HH	528.89	8.98	508.75	10
"	<b>428.87</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>428.87</b>	<b>800</b>
Hybrid GA	—	—	<b>428.87</b>	—
eil76	Mean best	SD	Best	$\iota$
HH	600.09	12.37	576.60	800
"	586.29	12.81	559.78	15,000
Hybrid GA	—	—	<b>544.37</b>	—

We consider problem **eil51** from TSPLIB, a standard benchmark suite, with  $\frac{50!}{2} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{64}$  tours. We set  $p = 100$ ,  $s = 500$ ,  $\omega = 100,000$ ,  $\mu = 0.5$ , and we define a grammar that merely allows for sequences built from **2-change**, **IF\_2-change**, **IF\_3-change**, **“REPEAT IF\_2-change”**, and **“REPEAT IF\_3-change”**.

For  $\iota = 800$ , each of 100 independent HH runs produces at least one MH that finds a tour whose length equals the best known result (see Table 1, where column “Best” gives the length of the shortest cycle found over all runs for given  $\iota$ ). On average, a run lasts 10.1 min, with 1–2 metaheuristics being produced each 10ms, using a single core of an Intel Xeon 3.2 GHz machine.

We also consider **eil76** with about  $1.2 \times 10^{109}$  tours. The “Hybrid GA” rows in Table 1 give the best known tour lengths, taken from [1] that presents a solver, only applicable to Euclidean TSPs, that uses several specialized, non-trivial, handcrafted heuristics. Remarkably, on the mentioned, large solution spaces, evolved MHs match or approach the effectiveness of the specialized solver.

## 1. REFERENCES

- [1] G. Jayalakshmi, S. Sathiamoorthy, and R. Rajaram. An hybrid genetic algorithm. *International Journal of Computational Engineering Science*, 2(2):339–355, 2001.
- [2] R. E. Keller and R. Poli. Linear Genetic Programming of Metaheuristics. Technical Report CSM-468, Department of Computer Science, University of Essex, 2007.