Memory Fitness Landscapes

 ∞

14th International Workshop on Genetic Improvement Sunday 27 April 2025



CREST

Humies \$10000 prizes Submit by **Friday 30 May**



W. B. Langdon, UCL



The gem5 C++ glibc Heap Fitness Landscape

- GI 2025 gem5 landscape smooth saving 12% Evo* 2025 LBA try 4 more: smooth but little improvement
- What is gem5?
- What is GNU C malloc() Heap?
- What are Fitness Landscapes?
- Why glibc heap is a "nice" landscape
- Measuring memory: malloc_info(), massif, top
- Genetic Improvement: Magpie, CMA-ES
- Others

CREST

- Z3 Microsoft theorem prover
- GNU g++ compiler
- Clang LLVM compiler
- Redis key-value store
- Heap differences: smooth but little gain
- Why



What is gem5?

- gem5 is a single threaded 1.3 million line C++ program
- It is a discrete time simulation for CPU, cache, memory, etc.
- It can look for performance bugs before the hardware is created.
- Eg to simulate a single machine code instruction, the instruction must be fetched, the data it needs and its outputs stored.
- gem5 creates timed events these.
- Millions of different C++ heap objects are created and deleted as events are started and complete.





What is the GNU C malloc Heap?

- In C dynamic memory is created by malloc() etc.
- In g++ malloc is also used
- 37 /lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2 --list-tunables
- g++ malloc 7 tuning parameters:
 - M_ARENA_TEST, M_ARENA_MAX, M_PERTURB, M_TOP_PAD
 - M_MMAP_MAX, M_TRIM_THRESHOLD M_MMAP_THRESHOLD
- Only last three relevant to single thread programs
- Total space $2^{**}(32+64+64) = 2^{160} = 1.5 \ 10^{48}$

 $\mathbf{\Omega}$



- In genetic algorithms etc a search space is a graph where adjacent nodes (a,b) are potential solutions which are connected iff there is a genetic operation (mutation or crossover) which allows a move from node a to node b.
- Often
 - a,b is symmetric
 - probability of a given mutation/xo is ignored.
 - High dimensional graph shown only in two dimensions
- Performance of each node represented as altitude (z-axis)

Foundations of Genetic Programming

Search space FOGA 2011

A fitness landscape where nodes have 4 neighbours. Fitness is plotted vertically.

5



Why glibc heap is a "nice" landscape

- Although representation is 96 bits (2⁹⁶) only three continuous dimensions
- One dimension (M_MMAP_MAX) makes almost no difference, any reasonable value will do.
- Can navigate landscape near defaults (2¹⁶, 2¹⁷, 131072) assuming it is continuous
- Sample two dimensional grid at powers of two and half powers
- Add arrows to emphasis gradient to better (lower) values



W. B. Langdon, UCL



Measuring Memory, malloc_info(), massif, top

- malloc_info()
 - Precise heap data
 - Heap stats when called
 - Small code change to add calling malloc_info
- valgrind --tool=massif (heap profiler)
 --pages-as-heap=yes --peak-inaccuracy=0.0
 - High overhead (gem5 15x slow down, others less)
 - Gives max heap size
- Тор
 - -b -d0.0
 - Limited sampling rate (max about 300 samples/second)
 - Gives same data as /proc/self/statm, ie total code+data (not heap only)
- Others, eg perf

Genetic Improvement of Parameters with Magpie

 ∞

- Genetic Improvement typically applied to source code
- Improvements can be found by tuning parameters [EuroGP 2018].
 Cf. deep parameter tuning
- Magpie can do both code and parameter tuning
- Magpie parameter file specify types of mutation
 - Geometric

CREST

- set range 0 to 256x default,
- set mutation default to be malloc default
- mean: opps should have been default, was 2.56x default
- setenv environment variable to change malloc parameters
- Run gem5 with and without parameter changes
- Fitness = ratio reduction in peak heap (malloc_info)
- 1000 fitness trials
- 12% reduction M_MMAP_MAX_tune M_TRIM_THRESHOLD_tune M_MMAP_THRESHOLD_tune g[0,33554432,1/65536][65536] g[0,33554432,1/131072][131072] g[0,33554432,1/131072][131072] Corrected magpie parameters

CREST

Magpie g[] geometric mutation distribution

With g[] magpie generates mutant values with a geometric distribution: specify default, mean and lower and upper bounds





gem5 Magpie search

Magpie starts 131072,131072,65536 0%. Arrows show new better place. Search is parallel to x,y,z axis. Best mmap=212





gem5 Genetic Improvement with CMA-ES

- CMA-ES is the state of the art search for continuous problems (you can use your favourite optimiser).
- Gaussian mutations with distribution's mean and standard deviation σ adapted during search
- Fitness function as Magpie
- x,y,z double values round to int. Negative values converted to 0
- Both Magpie and CMA-ES find improvements > 10%

 $\mathbf{\Omega}$



Other C++ Examples

- Use Valgrind's Massif (no code changes)
- Z3 Microsoft SMT theorem prover
 - 600,000 lines of code
 - Bench mark Certora Prover example from SMT competition
- GNU g++ compiler
 - Compile largest MySQL source code
- Clang LLVM compiler
 - Fitness function as g++
- Redis key-value store (make MALLOC=libc)
 - 150,000 lines of code
 - Use redis-benchmark
- Heap landscape again smooth but little gain
- Is small improvement because they do not stress heap?



Microsoft Z3 on Certora Prover benchmarks

- Z3 Massif peak heap
- Smooth fitness landscape (plot one dimension mmap)
- Best 1.5% improvement





Is gem5 typical?

- After an initial start up phase, gem5 created and deletes C++ objects of various sizes in an apparently random order during the simulation. Little time is spent writing results and shutting down.
- Seems typical? But in 4 cases tuning gives little benefit *Guess*:
- Z3, g++, Clang, process input file then stop.
 - Whilst processing input file, heap is only added to
 - When done heap is discarded.
 - *Ie simple use of heap? No problem with heap fragmentation?*
 - Heap manager has little to do?
- redis-benchmark all key-values are same size, so no problem with heap fragmentation?



Z3, g++, Clang, Redis, why flat landscapes

- All fitness landscapes (1 dimension mmap) smooth
- But only Z3 makes small improvement, others almost flat
- Heap use different from gem5
 - gem5 continuous random re-use.
 - Z3, compilers, redis-benchmark only add to heap?





Conclusions

- Not all SBSE search problems are hard.
- Genetic Improvement can be applied to software parameters as well as code. Eg glibc 37 run time parameters. Use any optimiser
- gem5 is a million+ lines but C++ 7 dimensional new/delete landscape is smooth, collapses to essentially one dimension broad good fitness valley (4 10¹⁷ solutions) large basin of attraction. Gives 11% heap reduction without loss of speed
- Other non-trivial C++ programs have similar smooth landscapes but tuning GNU glibc malloc gives only marginal improvement
- Magpie can tune parameters as well as multi-language code



⁴e17 = 400 Quadrillion



Genetic Programming



GENETIC PROGRAMMING AND DATA STRUCTURES Genetic Programming + Data Structures = Automatic Programming! William B, Langdon Rut & Forward by John B. Kasa

KLUWER ACADEMIC PUBLISHERS





Riccardo Poli William B. Langdon Nicholas F. McPhee

> with contributions by John R. Koza





Human-Competitive results \$10,000 prizes https://www.human-competitive.org

Email your entry to goodman@msu.edu by Friday 30 May

The Genetic Programming Bibliography http://gpbib.cs.ucl.ac.uk/

17586 references, 17000 authors

Make sure it has all of your papers!

E.g. email W.Langdon@cs.ucl.ac.uk or use | Add to It | web link



Development and learning site:gpbib.cs.ucl.ac.uk

Text search